

ARCOM 2013

**READING, UK
2 – 4 SEPTEMBER 2013**

**KEY INPUTS INTO A DESIGNING FOR CONSTRUCTION HEALTH,
SAFETY, AND ERGONOMICS MODEL
IN SOUTH AFRICA**

CRAIG GOLDSWAIN ¹ AND JOHN SMALLWOOD ²

**¹ PhD CANDIDATE AND ² PROFESSOR,
DEPARTMENT OF CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
NELSON MANDELA METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY,
SOUTH AFRICA**

Introduction (1)

- **Despite regulation, architectural design practice in South Africa insufficiently considers construction health, safety, and ergonomics**
- **Research indicates that:**
 - **There is a lack of appropriate education and competencies**
 - **Mitigation of hazards and risks is possible through design**
 - **The development of a user friendly model suited to the South African situation is expected to encourage architectural designers to prepare healthy and safe designs**
 - **The model could be integrated into architectural education and training programmes**

Introduction (2)

As part of a PhD (Construction Management) study incorporating three prior preliminary studies, this study set out to:

- **Establish the dynamics of a likely model**
- **Consider the extent to which architectural designers embrace the SACAP work stages**
- **Consider the application of the NBR as the most widely used construction documentation during the design process in order to consider it toward a model framework**
- **Ultimately to identify a range of key inputs suited to a recommended model framework**

Review of the literature

The literature review considers a range of possible key inputs suited to a proposed framework for an architectural design model aimed at improving construction health, safety, and ergonomics:

- Local and international literature
- Causes of construction accidents
- Hazard identification and risk assessment
- International models
- Design recommendations

Method

This study contributes as a fourth preliminary study as part of the greater study and:

- **Makes use of a questionnaire survey, due to cost effectiveness and to afford respondents the opportunity to respond within their own time and in privacy**
- **Uses percentages and a mean score (a measure of central tendency)**
- **Was conducted among a regional group of architectural designers in the Border region of the Eastern Cape Province in South Africa, registered with the SACAP**
- **Rates 18 statements on a 1 to 5 Likert Scale and includes an 'unsure' option to exclude 'forced' responses, and**
- **Concludes with open ended questions to solicit qualitative 'themes' for the greater study**

Research (1)

- A 20.5% response to questionnaires was received, which is considered as typical from the South African construction industry
- In the split table which follows discussion, the degree of concurrence is represented in terms of percentage responses to a scale of 1 (TD = totally disagree) to 5 (TA = totally agree), and a related mean score (MS) between 1.00 and 5.00, based upon the percentage responses. Allowance has been made for unsure (U) answers
- For purposes of discussion, the findings are elaborated in terms of themes as opposed to MSs

Research (2)

Theme 1 - The dynamics of a model:

- **Architectural designers would be encouraged to design for construction health, safety, and ergonomics if they had a technologically grounded, flexible model which promotes a buy-in situation without stifling architectural freedom to assist the process**
- **A flexible process is required which includes the need for checklists and allows opportunity for capturing of design notes**
- **Less enthusiasm was expressed relative to the need for prompts and keywords, but some doubt existed in this area**
- **It was made clear that the model must not be prescriptive and regulatory in nature, if a buy-in is to be expected**

Research (3)

Theme 2 - The framework for a model:

- **Architectural designers suggest a model framework which is familiar to them and offers ease of use**
- **The SACAP work stages and the NBR strongly lend themselves as a model framework, which will be familiar and offer ease of use**
- **It is clear that BoQs, Preambles for Construction Trades, and WBSs will not form a suitable model framework**

Research (4)

Theme 3 - The range of key inputs:

- **The causes of construction accidents predominated**
- **Consideration of existing design recommendations followed**
- **Consideration of local and international literature trailed closely**
- **The need to identify hazards and undertake risk assessments and consideration of international models thereafter scored equally**

Research (5)

The open ended qualitative data suggests:

- Importance of the study as accidents and death rates are 'far too high'
- Exposure and enhanced understanding by designers is required
- International benchmarking such as the UK's CDM Regulations
- It is a 'good idea' to relate the model framework to the NBR

Research (6)

| Statement | Response (%) | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | U | TD | D | N | A | TA | MS |
| Architectural designers would be more encouraged to design for construction health, safety, and ergonomics if they had a guiding model to assist them | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 20.0 | 4.18 |
| A guiding model should be technologically grounded and should not stifle architectural freedom | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 4.60 |
| Architectural designers would like a guiding model which includes 'prompts or keywords' in order to engender deeper thinking during the design process | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 3.40 |
| A guiding model should be flexible in nature and should promote a buy-in situation making architectural designers more willing to use the model | 6.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 13.3 | 20.0 | 53.3 | 4.00 |
| A guiding model should be prescriptive and regulatory in nature whereby architectural designers are forced by regulation to use the model | 0.0 | 13.3 | 33.3 | 20.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 2.64 |
| A guiding model should have a framework which is familiar to architectural designers and offers ease of use | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 4.33 |
| Architectural designers use the application of the National Building Regulations (NBR) during the design process | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 13.3 | 26.7 | 46.7 | 4.00 |
| Architectural designers use a Bill of Quantities (BoQ) during the design process | 0.0 | 13.3 | 26.7 | 53.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 2.53 |
| Architectural designers use a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) during the design process | 6.7 | 13.3 | 33.3 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 2.33 |

Table 1A: Degree of concurrence with statements related to the development of a model

Research (7)

| Statement | Response (%) | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | U | TD | D | N | A | TA | MS |
| Architectural designers use the Preambles for Construction Trades during the design process | 0.0 | 20.0 | 26.7 | 40.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 2.47 |
| Architectural designers follow the SACAP 'work stages' during the design process | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 53.3 | 33.3 | 4.20 |
| Architectural designers would need to understand the causes of construction accidents in order to design for construction health, safety, and ergonomics | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 40.0 | 33.3 | 4.07 |
| Architectural designers would need to identify hazards and undertake risk assessments in order to design for construction health, safety, and ergonomics | 6.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 20.0 | 53.3 | 13.3 | 3.53 |
| Consideration of 'local and international literature' would prove beneficial to developing a guiding model suitable for use in the context of South Africa | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 53.3 | 20.0 | 3.73 |
| Consideration of suitable 'international models' would prove beneficial to developing a guiding model suitable for use in the context of South Africa | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 60.0 | 6.7 | 3.53 |
| Consideration of existing 'design recommendations' would prove beneficial to developing a guiding model suitable for use in the context of South Africa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 33.3 | 20.0 | 3.79 |
| A guiding model should include a process which architectural designers can follow in order to design for construction health, safety, and ergonomics | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 46.7 | 13.3 | 3.60 |
| A guiding model should include 'checklists' and allow opportunity for 'design notes' in order to assist the process | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 60.0 | 26.7 | 4.07 |

Table 1B: Degree of concurrence with statements related to the development of a model

Conclusions

- **Architectural designers would be encouraged to design for construction health, safety, and ergonomics if they had an appropriate model to guide them**
- **The SACAP work stages are extensively followed during the design process**
- **The NBR is the most widely used form of construction documentation (reference) during the design process**
- **A range of key inputs suited to a proposed model framework were identified**

Recommendations

Further research is required and it is recommended that:

- **The structure of the NBR and the SACAP work stages be suitably integrated in order to form a model framework**
- **Such a format would be readily understood by architectural designers in South Africa**
- **The proposed range of key inputs be integrated with the proposed model framework toward developing a model**